

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/771,856	Applicant(s) TANAKA, TOSHIMASA	
	Examiner Jimmy H. Nguyen	Art Unit 2629	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Jimmy H. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Darius G. Adli (applicant's rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 June 2007
Time: 12:35pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 4 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1, 3, 4 and 18 so as to make the claimed invention consistent with the disclosure, especially Fig. 1. The amendment to these claims is provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)